
Z-47 (2016)REZONING PLAN Previous Legislatures (Mallone, Colorado Colorad Z-01 The Preserve at Ellis Lake (MEMIE ANDE NO IONOMINI CIPILITAMIDIS OR HISTORIC SITTES LOCATED ON THIS PROPERTY. 2) BUSTING PRIMITS FOND TO BEINE AS LETTERITION/ WITES CAULTY FALCE TO BE CHRED AND MANTARED BY THE HOMEOWHERS ASSOCIATION FRONTIAGE ALDRIG OLD DALLAS ROTO BE CURRED & BRINWALKE. PROBET OF WAYT. 12.38 AND COMMONDAY HOUSE. 19.28 AND COMMONDAY HOUSE. 19.20 OF RECON. 15.000 SP SECON. 15.200 SP SECON. 15.20 24 HR CONTACT MITCH NIMEY (404) 915-0914 MAX LOTS ALLOWED; 228 TOTAL LOTS SHOWC 204 La. LST UNG PACPOSED RESIDENCES ARE TO BE DETACHED BROLLE PARLY URITS. OPEN SPACE (COMMON) APENS APE TO BE OWN AND JAKATTARED BY THE HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIA CALIBRICA, COMM. DEV. AGENCY aro MANAGE STATES MISC. NOTES MANAGE . 2016 9-m SITE APR 65 CONED. R.2D 18 WYNTHROP MANOR SCHOOL E C LOCATION MAP -\$ 9 ş 3 1 4 40 8 PROPOSED ZONING 8 8 3 8 * 8 * 3 8 8 24 R-15 ORIGNIAND GROUP LLC ZONED: R-80 8 8 8 9 16 ¥ 8 8 HOBBS ZONED: R-80 9 8 16 HUTCHESON ZONED: R-80 3 8 DEAVER ZONED: R-80 8 8 12 SOMED: 18-60 (E (0 1) = 2 17 2 Î Ē 8 000 11 174 WINDING CREEK LN 4 E × 8 200 2 178 110 8 Ŧ 8 DALLAS HIGHWAY 12 8 187 78 8 2 8 8 181 (10.00) 3 . .

APPLICANT: Pebblebrook Development, LLC		PETITION NO:	Z-47
PHONE#: 404-915-0914 EMAIL: mitchn	imey@gmail.com	HEARING DATE (PC): _	06-07-16
REPRESENTATIVE: Garvis L. Sams, Jr.		HEARING DATE (BOC):	06-21-16
	s@slhb-law.com	PRESENT ZONING:	
TITLEHOLDER: Evenly Joyce Boatright, Kyle			
Jason Ashley Mauldin as Executors of the Estate of			
Steve T. Woodman as Administrator of the Estate of	of Mary Evelyn Ellis	PROPOSED ZONING: _	R-15
PROPERTY LOCATION: South side of Old Da			
Villa Rica Way, and on the north end of Hickory S	tick Lane	PROPOSED USE: Resid	ential Neighborhood
ACCESS TO PROPERTY: Old Dallas Road		SIZE OF TRACT: 108	8.82 acres
		DISTRICT: 19	
PHYSICAL CHARACTERISTICS TO SITE:	Single-family house	LAND LOT(S): 29	9, 45,46 & 47
on wooded acreage with a lake		PARCEL(S):2	1, 1, 1 , & 1
		TAXES: PAID X I	OUE
CONTIGUOUS ZONING/DEVELOPMENT		COMMISSION DISTRIC	T: 1
NORTH: R-20/Single-family houses SOUTH: R-20/Cheatham Springs Subdivision EAST: R-80/Single-family houses; R-80 & WEST: R-20/Single-family houses; R-20/N	₹ R-20/Wynthrop Manor	Adjacent Future Land Use Northeast: Low Density I and just a corner of a Corner (CAC) Southeast: Low Density I Southwest: Low Density (LDR) Northwest: Low Density (LDR)	Residential (LDR) mmunity Activity Residential (LDR) Residential
OPPOSITION: NO. OPPOSEDPETITION	NO:SPOKESMA	AN	
PLANNING COMMISSION RECOMMENDAT	ΓΙΟΝ		
APPROVEDMOTION BY	GC Od,	Dallas Hwy	RR
REJECTEDSECONDED			
HELDCARRIED			O&I 32
		SITE	
BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS DECISION	P. P) R-80	
APPROVEDMOTION BY	R-20		nho Mara
REJECTEDSECONDED	The state of the s		C Wynthrop Manor D
HELDCARRIED	Giringark Ct		\
STIPULATIONS:	102	Winding Chek Ln 194 105 g	Hamin Dr. 106

Z-47-2016 GIS



APPLICANT: Pedblebrook Deve	elopment, LLC		PETITION NO: _	Z-4/
PRESENT ZONING: R-20 &	R-80		PETITION FOR:	R-15
*******	* * * * * * * * * *	: * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * * * * * *
ZONING COMMENTS:	Staff Member R	Responsible: Jas	son A. Campbell	
Land Use Plan Recommendati	ion: Low Density	Residential (1-2.5	5 units per acre)	
Proposed Number of Units:	204	Overall Density	y: 2.2 Units/A	cre
Staff estimate for allowable # c *Estimate could be higher or lower bas natural features such as creeks, wetlands	sed on engineered plan	_		

Applicant is requesting the R-15 zoning district for the development of a 204-lot single-family subdivision. The proposed houses will range in size from 3,200 to 4,000 square feet and greater, and will consist of four-sided traditional architectural. The roads for the proposed development will be public. An amenity area is proposed and will include a clubhouse, tennis courts and playing fields. Floodplain and wetlands are not indicated on plan. However, a previous plan indicated 16.5 acres of floodplain and wetlands. Removing that acreage the overall density is 2.2 units per acre.

<u>Cemetery Preservation</u>: No comment.

APPLICANT: Pebblebrook Development, LLC	PETITION NO.:	Z-47
PRESENT ZONING: R-20 & R-80	PETITION FOR:	R-15
*****	*****	****

SCHOOL COMMENTS:

			Number of
		Capacity	Portable
Name of School	Enrollment	Status	Classrooms
Cheatham Hill Elem	1078	1073	
Elementary Lovinggood Middle	1353	1046	
Middle Hillgrove High	2404	1912	

High

• School attendance zones are subject to revision at any time.

Additional Comments: Approval of this petition could seriously and adversely affect the enrollment at Lovinggood Middle School and Hillgrove High School, both of which are severely over capacity at this time.

FIRE COMMENTS:

NO COMMENTS: After analyzing the information presented for a Preliminary Review, the Cobb County Fire Marshal's Office is confident that all other items can be addressed during the Plan Review Stage.

APPLICANT: Pebblebrook Development, LLC	PETITION NO.: Z-47
PRESENT ZONING: R-20 & R-80	PETITION FOR: R-15
*********** PLANNING COMMENTS:	******
The applicant is requesting a rezoning from R-20 and neighborhood. The 108.82 acre site is located on the south and on the north end of Hickory Stick Lane.	
HB-489 Intergovernmental Agreement Zoning Amendment Is the application site within one half (1/2) mile of a city both If yes, has the city of Marietta been notified?	·
Comprehensive Plan The parcel is within a Low Density Residential (LDR) future designation. The purpose of the Low Density Residential (I suitable for low density housing between one (1) and two are supportive senior living housing that in certain circumstance depending on existing conditions such as product type and retopographic conditions, etc in order to provide compatibility presents a range of densities.	LDR) category is to provide for areas that are ad one-half (2.5) dwelling units per acre, and non es may reach five (5) dwelling units per acre, mix, structure/building height, tract size,
Specific Area Policy Guidelines: There are no specific policy guidelines for this area in the C	omprehensive Plan.
Adjacent Future Land Use: Northeast: Low Density Residential (LDR) and just a consolidation of the Southeast: Southwest: Low Density Residential (LDR) Northwest: Low Density Residential (LDR)	orner of a Community Activity Center (CAC)
Master Plan/Corridor Study The property is not located within the boundary of a Plan or	Corridor Study
Historic Preservation After consulting various county historic resources surveys, I trench location maps, staff finds that no known significant application. No further comment. No action by applicant re-	t historic resources appear to be affected by this
Design Guidelines Is the parcel in an area with Design Guidelines? □ Yes If yes, design guidelines area □	
Does the current site plan comply with the design requirement	ents?
<i>Incentive Zones</i> Is the property within an Opportunity Zone? □ Yes The Opportunity Zone is an incentive that provides \$3,500 t jobs are being created. This incentive is available for new or	

APP	LICANT: Pebblebrook Development, LLC		PETITION NO.: <u>Z-47</u>
	SENT ZONING: R-20 & R-80		PETITION FOR: R-15
* * *	*******	* * * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
PLA	NNING COMMENTS: continued		
The ince	e property within an Enterprise Zone? Enterprise Zone is an incentive the ntives for qualifying businesses locating or expansion.		
Prog The	e property eligible for incentives through the Coram?	■ No ion Program i	s an incentive that provides a reduction in
	more information on incentives, please call the C528.2018 or find information online at		

PRESENT ZONING R-20 & R-80				PE	TITION F	FOR <u>R-15</u>
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	* * * *	* * * * * * *	* * * *	* * *	* * * * * *	* * * * * * * * * *
WATER COMMENTS: NOTE: Comments	reflect on	ly what facilitie	es were	in ex	istence at th	e time of this review.
Available at Development:	✓	Yes			No	
Fire Flow Test Required:	✓	Yes			No	
Size / Location of Existing Water Main(s): 6	" CI / S	side of Old Da	allas Ro	d		
Additional Comments: Dual feed will be requ	uired at l	Plan Review				
Developer may be required to install/upgrade water mains, based Review Process.	d on fire flo	w test results or Fir	e Departr	nent Co	ode. This will	be resolved in the Plan
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	****	*****	* * * *	* *	* * * * *	* * * * * * * * *
SEWER COMMENTS: NOTE: Commer	nts reflect	only what facil	lities we	ere in	existence at	the time of this review.
In Drainage Basin:	\checkmark	Yes			No	
At Development:	✓	Yes			No	
Approximate Distance to Nearest Sewer:	Along w	estern edge of	site			
Estimated Waste Generation (in G.P.D.):	A D F=	32,640		I	Peak= 81,	600
Treatment Plant:		Sou	th Cob	b		
Plant Capacity:	✓	Available		Not	Available	
Line Capacity:	✓	Available		Not	Available	
Projected Plant Availability:	✓	0 - 5 years		5 - 1	0 years	□ over 10 years
Dry Sewers Required:		Yes	~	No		
Off-site Easements Required:		Yes*	✓	No		easements are required, Develope t easements to CCWS for
Flow Test Required:		Yes	~	No	review/appr	roval as to form and stipulations execution of easements by the
Letter of Allocation issued:		Yes	✓	No	property ow	oners. All easement acquisitions onsibility of the Developer
Septic Tank Recommended by this Departme	ent:	Yes	✓	No		
Subject to Health Department Approval:		Yes	✓	No		
Additional						

PETITION NO.

Z-047

APPLICANT Pebblebrook Development, LLC

Comments:

Developer will be responsible for connecting to the existing County water and sewer systems, installing and/or upgrading all outfalls and water mains, obtaining on and/or offsite easements, dedication of on and/or offsite water and sewer to Cobb County, as may be required. Rezoning does not guarantee water/sewer availability/capacity unless so stated in writing by the Cobb County Water System. Permit issuances subject to continued treatment plant compliance with EPD discharge requirements.

PRESENT ZONING: <u>R-20, R-80</u>	PETITION FOR: <u>R-15</u>
***********	***********
STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMEN	TS
FLOOD HAZARD: YES NO POSSIB	LY, NOT VERIFIED
DRAINAGE BASIN: Noses Creek FLOOD F FEMA Designated 100 year Floodplain Flood. Flood Damage Prevention Ordinance DESIGNATE Project subject to the Cobb County Flood Damage F Dam Breach zone from upstream & onsite lake - nee	Prevention Ordinance Requirements.
WETLANDS: ☐ YES ☐ NO ☐ POSSIBLY,	NOT VERIFIED
Location: within and adjacent to stream channels a	nd floodplain_
∑ The Owner/Developer is responsible for obtaining Corps of Engineer.	any required wetland permits from the U.S. Army
STREAMBANK BUFFER ZONE: X YES X	POSSIBLY, NOT VERIFIED
 Metropolitan River Protection Area (within 20 undisturbed buffer each side of waterway). Chattahoochee River Corridor Tributary Area - Cou Georgia Erosion-Sediment Control Law and County Georgia DNR Variance may be required to work in County Buffer Ordinance: 50°, 75°, 100° or 200° each 	nty review (<u>undisturbed</u> buffer each side). Ordinance - County Review /State Review. 25 foot streambank buffers.
DOWNSTREAM CONDITIONS	
 □ Potential or Known drainage problems exist for dev □ Stormwater discharges must be controlled not to exe drainage system. □ Minimize runoff into public roads. □ Minimize the effect of concentrated stormwater disc 	ceed the capacity available in the downstream storm
Developer must secure any R.O.W required to naturally	receive concentrated discharges where none exist
 Existing Lake Downstream Additional BMP's for erosion sediment controls will Lake Study needed to document sediment levels. Stormwater discharges through an established reside ✓ Project angineer must evaluate the impact of increase. 	ential neighborhood downstream.
Project engineer must evaluate the impact of increproject on receiving stream.	cased volume of runon generated by the proposed

PETITION NO.: <u>Z-47</u>

APPLICANT: Pebblebrook Development, LLC

APPLICANT: Pebblebrook Development, LLC **PETITION NO.: <u>Z-47</u>** PRESENT ZONING: R-20, R-80 PETITION FOR: R-15 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT COMMENTS - Continued SPECIAL SITE CONDITIONS Provide comprehensive hydrology/stormwater controls to include development of out parcels. Submit all proposed site improvements to Plan Review. \square Any **spring activity** uncovered must be addressed by a qualified geotechnical engineer (PE). Structural fill must be placed under the direction of a qualified registered Georgia geotechnical engineer (PE). Existing facility. Project must comply with the Water Quality requirements of the CWA-NPDES-NPS Permit and County Water Quality Ordinance. Water Quality/Quantity contributions of the existing lake/pond on site must be continued as baseline conditions into proposed project. Calculate and provide % impervious of project site. Revisit design; reduce pavement area to reduce runoff and pollution. **INSUFFICIENT INFORMATION** No Stormwater controls shown Copy of survey is not current – Additional comments may be forthcoming when current site conditions are exposed. No site improvements showing on exhibit.

ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

- 1. This site is located south of Old Dallas Road and east of Noses Creek. Average slopes range from 3 to 25%. The site is completely wooded except for an open pasture located between the existing Ellis Lake and the Old Dallas Road frontage. The entire site drains to the Noses Creek floodplain. Approximately half of the site flows directly to the Nose Creek, while the remainder flows through a series of existing lakes (Sagebrush Lake and Ellis Lake) before flowing into Noses Creek.
- 2. The existing on-site lake will be modified to provide stormwater management for the site. The dam, outlet control structure and emergency spillway must be brought up to current County standards.
- 3. A multi-dam dam-break analysis will be required to verify that all proposed house pads will be located outside the breach zone. Use of USACE HEC-RAS 5.0 will be adequate.
- 4. The onsite stream buffers have already been verified by Frank Gipson. Buffer averaging will be required to accomplish the proposed layout.
- 5. A portion of the recreation area is located within the Noses Creek floodplain. While floodplains are well-suited for many recreational uses, placement of the tennis courts within the floodplain is not recommended due to maintenance issues.

APPLICANT: Pebblebrook Development, LLC	PETITION NO.: Z-47
PRESENT ZONING: R-20 & R-80	PETITION FOR: R-15
* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	******
TRANSPORTATION COMMENTS:	

The following comments and recommendations are based on field investigation and office review of the subject rezoning case:

ROADWAY	AVERAGE DAILY TRIPS	ROADWAY CLASSIFICATION	SPEED LIMIT	JURISDICTIONAL CONTROL	MIN. R.O.W. REQUIREMENTS
Old Dallas Road	N/A	Local	25 mph	Cobb County	50'

COMMENTS AND OBSERVATIONS

Old Dallas Road is classified as a local and according to the available information the existing right-of-way does meet the minimum requirements for this classification.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Recommend applicant be required to meet all Cobb County Development Standards and Ordinances related to project improvements.

Recommend curb, gutter, and sidewalk along the Old Dallas Road frontage.

Recommend curb and gutter along both sides and sidewalk along one side of proposed development roadway.

Recommend deceleration lanes on Old Dallas Road for development roadways.

STAFF RECOMMENDATIONS


Z-47 PEBBLEBROOK DEVELOPMENT, INC.

- A. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal may permit a use that is suitable in view of the use and development of adjacent and nearby properties. Adjacent and nearby properties are similarly zoned for single-family houses.
- B. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal may not have an adverse affect on the usability of adjacent or nearby property. Other adjoining properties are zoned for single-family developments that include R-80 and R-20, and commercial developments that include O&I.
- C. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal will not result in a use which would cause an excessive or burdensome use of existing streets, transportation facilities or utilities. However, the school system has provided comments that this proposal may negatively affect certain schools.
- D. It is Staff's opinion that the applicant's rezoning proposal is in conformity with the policy and intent of the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*, which delineates this property to be within the Low Density Residential (LDR) land use category for properties having a density of 1-2.5 units per acre. The proposed site plan indicates a density of 1.87 units per acre. The zoning and densities of some of the other residential developments in the area include: Hays Farm Unit 1 (Zoned R-20 at 1.20 units per acre); Nob Ridge (zoned R-20 at 1.29 units per acre); Cheatham Woods (zoned R-20 at approximately 1.37 units per acre); Cheatham Springs Unit Two (zoned R-20 at approximately 1.56 units per acre).
- E. It is Staff's opinion that there are existing and changing conditions affecting the use and development of the property which give supporting grounds for deleting the applicant's rezoning proposal to R-20. Applicant's proposal for R-15 at 1.87 units per acre is consistent with the *Cobb County Comprehensive Plan*, but is higher than adjacent subdivisions. The adjoining residential area has half-acre and larger size residential lots.

Based on the above analysis, Staff recommends DELETION to R-20 subject to the following conditions:

- 1. District Commissioner approving the final site plan;
- 2. Water and Sewer Division comments and recommendations:
- 3. Stormwater Management Division comments and recommendations; and
- 4. Department of Transportation comments and recommendations;

The recommendations made by the Planning and Zoning Staff are only the opinions of the Planning and Zoning Staff and are by no means the final decision. The Cobb County Board of Commissioners makes the final decisions on all Rezoning and Land Use Permits at an advertised public hearing.



Application No. _z-

PC Hearing: 6-7-2016 BOC Hearing: 6-21-2016

Summary of Intent for Rezoning *

	a)	Proposed unit square-footage(s): 3,200 square feet to 4000 square feet	and greater.
	b)	Proposed building architecture: 4 sided traditional architecture.	_
	c)	Proposed selling prices(s): \$400,000 and greater.	_
	d)	List all requested variances:	
			_
			_
•••••	•••••		•••
Part 2.	Non-re	residential Rezoning Information (attach additional information if needed)	
	a)	Proposed use(s): N/A	_
	b)	Proposed building architecture:	
	c)	Proposed hours/days of operation:	
	d)	List all requested variances:	
			•••
Part	3. Oth	her Pertinent Information (List or attach additional information if needed)	
The prop	erty w	will be developed utilizing the existing topography and the site $\underline{\text{will not}}$	be mass graded or
clear-cu	it. Th	The proposed density at 1.87 units per acre is closer to an R-20 density (—— 1.75 upa) than it i
	15 typ	pical density (2.25 upa). The Future Land Use Map shows this property as	Low Density
to an R-			
	ial (("LDR") which allows residential densities ranging from 1.0 - 2.5 units pe	r acre).
Resident	•••••		
Resident	4. Is an	ny of the property included on the proposed site plan owned by the Local, State, or Federal G	•• overnment?
Resident	4. Is an		•• overnment?

^{*} Applicant specifically reserves the right to amend any information set forth in this Summary of Intent for Rezoning, or any other portion of the Application for Rezoning, at any time during the rezoning process.

